Design Memorandum No. 06-2003

TO:	Engineering Offices and Divisions	
	Districts	Design Manual Reference:
	Consulting Engineers	
		Section III-02
FROM:	Mark Gaydos, Design Engineer	
		Revision
DATE:	April 21, 2003	Supplemental
SUBJECT:	RECOVERY APPROACHES	

Introduction

This memorandum provides guidance on the design of Recovery Approaches at T-intersections.

Implementation

The use of this guidance is to be implemented immediately.

Guidance

North Dakota Century Code, Section 24-01-49, states: "Approach or escape road to be built at all dead end roads or intersection of county and state highways. Whenever any highway on the state or county highway system has an intersection or dead end, there must be constructed, whenever feasible, an approach or escape road, and when not feasible other protective devices such as warning signs, rumble strips, or barricades. This section applies to new road construction and reconstruction after July 1, 1975."

Intersection conditions where the construction of a recovery approach is not feasible must be discussed in the project concept report. The determination not to construct a recovery approach should be a decision item for approval by the Deputy Director for Engineering. Unfeasible conditions usually involve other roadway hazards such as: recovery approach that would lead into a lake, wetlands, rip-rap, steep back slope, deep ravine, or when extreme amounts of fill and right-of-way are needed. Note that some small wetlands can safely be traversed by the recovery approach.

Signing and/or rumble strips will be included in the intersection design when the Traffic Operations Section, Planning and Programming Division, has identified a crash problem.

Design Memorandum 06-2003 April 21, 2003 Page 2 of 2

There are 4 design scenarios for T-intersections:

- 1. A recovery approach will be constructed at all T-intersections of county and state highways, unless it is not feasible.
- 2. If a recovery approach is not feasible and a crash problem has not been identified, the intersection will be signed with intersection warning signs, Two-Direction Large Arrow sign (W1-7) and the T-Symbol sign (W2-4).
- 3. If a recovery approach is not feasible and a crash problem has been identified, the intersection will be signed with intersection warning signs, Two-Direction Large Arrow sign (W1-7) and the T-Symbol sign (W2-4), and rumble strips may be installed as shown on standard drawing D-960-1.
- 4. If a recovery approach is feasible and a crash problem has been identified, a recovery approach will be constructed. The intersection will be signed with intersection warning signs, W1-7 and W2-4 and rumble strips may be installed as shown on standard drawing D-960-1.

If a recovery approach is constructed a 90-1 Survey should be conducted to determine what other safety work should be done to the approaching county or state highway (Example: flattening of inslopes, relocation of pipes out of the clear zone)

The attached standard drawing, D-203-7, has been developed to give guidance on the width, grade, radius and location of recovery approaches in relation to the approaching highway. In addition there is a drawing showing the signing requirements for a T-intersection.

The Traffic Operations Section, Planning and Programming Division, will provide the crash analyses and traffic control device recommendations.

Ouestions

Any question regarding the content or implementation of this memorandum should be referred to Ronald J. Henke, Design Division, 701-328-4445.

Approved

Signed	5/06/2003
Francis G. Ziegler, P.E Director, Office of Project Developement	Date



